



Pieter Demetter Department of Pathology Institut Jules Bordet

Cholangiocarcinoma

- Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
- Perihilar cholangiocarcinoma (Klatskin tumour)
- Distal cholangiocarcinoma



Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

- 10-15% of primary liver malignancies
- General incidence: 1/100.000 people/year
- Proposed risk factors: choledochal cyst, anatomical anomalies, primary sclerosing cholangitis, chronic B or C viral hepatitis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Lynch syndrome
- Higher incidence: South Korea (>Clonorchis sinensis) and Thailand (>Opistorchis viverrini)

Clonorchis sinensis

Opistorchis viverrini







Hughes T, Int J Gen Med 2017



Chung T, Front Med (Lausanne) 2022

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA)

Small duct type

- Peripheral, ductular or cholangiolar type (synonyms)
- 36-84% of iCCA cases
- Background: often chronic hepatitis B or C, alcoholic hepatitis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
- Origin: canals of Hering, cuboidal cholangiocytes, interlobular bile ducts

Large duct type

- Bile duct type of perihilar type (synonyms)
- 8-60% of iCCA cases
- Background: often chronic bile duct injury due to hepatolithiasis, parasites or primary sclerosing cholangitis
- Origin: columnar biliary epithelium, peribiliary glands around them



Cordes C, J Clin Invest 2013



A, B and C: small duct type

D, E and F: large duct type

Chung T, Front Med (Lausanne) 2022



> Small duct and large duct types

> Exclusively large duct type

Chung T, Front Med (Lausanne) 2022

Variants of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

- Cholangiolocarcinoma
- Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with ductal plate malformation pattern
- Adenosquamous carcinoma/squamous carcinoma
- Mucinous carcinoma/signet ring cell carcinoma
- Clear cell carcinoma
- Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
- Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma
- Sarcomatous intrahepatic carcinoma

Cholangiolocarcinoma



- Belongs to the small duct type
- iCCA with more than 80% of tumour area showing cholangiolocellular differentiation
- Excellent outcome

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with ductal plate malformation pattern



- Ductal plates: pathologically existing embryonic bile duct structures
- 3% of iCCA cases
- Survival better than that of conventional small duct ICC

Adenosquamous carcinoma/ squamous carcinoma



- Correlated with chronic cholangitis caused by liver flukes or hepatolithiasis
- Poor prognosis, median survival 6 months

Mucinous carcinoma/ signet ring cell carcinoma



- Belongs to large duct type iCCA
- By convention at least 50% of tumour volume
- Usually due to malignant transformation of intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct (IPNB)

Clear cell carcinoma



- Bulky cytoplasm clearing
- Eccentrically located nuclei
- Glandular and/or trabecular growth patterns
- DD: HCC with clear cell change, metastatic clear cell carcinoma of the kidney, metastasis of other GI tract tumours

Mucoepidermoid carcinoma



- Mixture of epidermoid/squamous and mucin-secreting elements
- Only a few case reports
- Poor prognosis

Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma



- Dense lymphoid stroma
- Undifferentiated or glandforming tumour cells
- Almost all cases are Epstein-Barr encoded small RNA (EBER) positive
- Usually favourable
 outcome

Sarcomatous iCCA



- Mixed features of conventional iCCA and undifferentiated components with spindle cell features
- Sarcomatoid component often negative for epithelial markers
- Worse prognosis than conventional iCCA

Chung T, Front Med (Lausanne) 2022

Precursor lesions of iCCA

- Biliary intraepithelial neoplasia (BilIN)
 - Low-grade Billn
 - High-grade Billn
- . Intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct (IPNB)
 - Low-grade IPNB
 - High-grade IPNB

Biliary intraepithelial neoplasia (BillN)

- Often accompanying large duct type iCCA, but not small duct type
- Invisible upon gross examination (or associated with subtle mucosal thickening)

Low-grade BillN



- Mild cytoarchitectural atypia (flat pseudopapillary and/or micropapillary growth)
- . Hyperchromatic nuclei
- Increase nuclearcytoplasmic ratio
- Nuclear polarity is preserved
- p53 usually negative
- p16 relatively preserved

High-grade BillN



- Moderate to severe cytoarchitectural atypia (more complex patterns, compete loss of polarity, marked nuclear atypia)
- Frequent mitosis
- p53 often overexpressed
- p16 decreased

Chung T, Front Med (Lausanne) 2022

Intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct (IPNB)

- Grossly visible premalignant neoplasm
- Intraductal papillary or villous growth of biliarytype epithelium
- Four subtypes: pancreatobiliary, intestinal, gastric and oncocytic
- When invasive carcinoma develops in this lesion, it should be diagnosed as IPNB with associated invasive carcinoma



Verset L, Liver Int 2019

Intraductal papillary neoplasm of the bile duct (IPNB)

Type 1

- More homogeneous appearance
- Regular villous, papillary or tubular structures
- . Usually low-grade dysplasia
- Frequently mucin overproduction
- Stromal invasion uncommon
- Most common in intrahepatic bile ducts
- Higher mutation rates of KRAS, GNAS and RNF43

Type 2

- Heterogeneous appearance
- Irregular villous, papillary or tubular structures
- Usually high-grade dysplasia
- Rarely mucin overproduction
- . Stromal invasion more common
- Arises throughout the biliary tree
- Higher mutation rates of *TP53* and *SMAD4*



Type 2



Nakanuma Y, Hum Pathol 2021

Proportion of types 1 and 2 in the four subtypes



Nakanuma Y, Hum Pathol 2021

Immature versus mature stroma in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma



> Immature stroma; poorer prognosis

> Mature stroma; better prognosis

Zhang XF, *Hum Pathol* 2017 Kojima S, *Anticancer Res* 2020 Chung T, *Front Oncol* 2022

Molecular genetics of BTC

GBCA

TP53 mutation (47.1-59%) ERBB2/3 amplification (9.8-19%) CDKN2A/B loss (5.9-19%) ARID1A mutation (13%) KRAS mutation (4–13%) PIK3CA mutation (5.9-12.5%) NRAS mutation (6.3%) BRAF mutation (1-5.9%) AKAP11 mutation (5.9%) FBXW7 mutation (5.9%) GNAS mutation (5.9%) LAMA2 mutation (5.9%) CSMD3 mutation (5.9%) RNF43 mutation (3.9%) SF3B1 mutation (3.9%) BRCA1 mutation (3.9%) SMARCB1 mutation (3.9%) MAP2K4 mutation (3.9%) CPNE4 mutation (3.9%) POLE mutation (3.9%) GLTSCLR1 mutation (3.9%) NALCN mutation (3.9%) ARID1B mutation (3.9%) NF1 mutation (3.9%) RB1 mutation (3.9%) SMAD 4 mutation (3.9%) EGFR mutation (3.9%) FLG mutation (3.9%) FGFR1-3 fusions, mutations and amplifications (3%) RGPD3 mutation (2%) IDH1/2 mutation (1.5%)



ICC

FGFR1-3 fusions, mutations and amplifications (11-45%) TP53 mutation (2.5-44.4%) IDH1/2 mutation (4.9-36%) ARID1A mutations (6.9-36%) CDKN2A/B loss (5.6-25.9%) KRAS mutation (8.6-24.2%) MCL1 amplifications (21%) SMAD4 mutation (3.9-16.7%) MLL3 mutation (14.8%) BAP1 mutation (13.0%) PTEN mutation (0.6–11%) ARAF mutation (11%) RNF43 mutation (9.3%) ROBO2 mutation (9.3%) GNAS mutation (9.3%) PIK3CA mutations (3-9%) BRAF mutations (3-7.1%) ERBB3 amplification (7%) MET amplification (2-7%) NRAS mutation (1.5-7%) CDK6 mutation (7%) ERBB3 mutation (7%) PEG3 mutation (5.6%) XIRP mutation (5.6%) RB1 mutation (5.0%) MET mutation (4.7%) BRCA1/2 mutation (4%) NF1 mutation (4%) TSC1 mutation (4%) RADIL mutation (3.7%) NDC80 mutation (3.7%) PCDHA13 mutation (3.7%) LAMA2 mutation (3.7%) EGFR mutation (1.5-2%) CTNNB1 mutation (0.6^)

Valle JW, Cancer Discov 2017

Molecular subgroups of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma



Lamarca A, J Hepatol 2020

FGFR2 fusions

- FGFR2 fusions/rearrangements in 15-20% of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
- Associated with better prognosis
- Tyrosine kinase inhibitors
 - Pemigatinib: oral FGFR 1-3 TK inhibitor
 - Derazantinib: oral pan-TK inhibitor
 - Infigratinib: oral FGFR 1-3 TK inhibitor

IDH 1 / 2 mutations







IDH : isocitrate dehydrogenase

IDH 1 or 2 mutations in 20% of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

2-hydroglutarate: oncometabolite

IDH 1 mutations have no prognostic value

Ivosidenib: IDH1 inhibitor

Javle M, Cancer 2016 Valle JW, Cancer Discov 2017



Cocco E, Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2018

ESMO recommendations on the standard methods to detect NTRK fusions in daily practice and clinical research.

Marchiò C^{1,2}, Scaltriti M^{3,4}, Ladanyi M³, Iafrate AJ^{5,6}, Bibeau E⁷, Dietel M⁸, Hechtman JF³, Troiani T⁹, López-Rios E¹⁰, Douillard JY¹¹, Andrè F¹², Reis-Filho JS³.

Author information

- 1 Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin.
- 2 Division of Pathology, Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS, Candiolo, Italy.
- 3 Department of Pathology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York.
- 4 Human Oncology & Pathogenesis Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York.
- 5 Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston.
- 6 Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA.
- 7 Department of Pathology, Caen University Hospital, Caen, France.
- 8 Institute of Pathology, Charité, University Medicine Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- 9 Medical Oncology, Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy.
- 10 Pathology & Targeted Therapies Laboratory, HM Sanchinarro University Hospital, Madrid, Spain.
- 11 European Society for Medical Oncology, Lugano, Switzerland.
- 12 Department of Medical Oncology, INSERM Unit 981, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France.

Abstract

BACKGROUND: NTRK1, NTRK2 and NTRK3 fusions are present in a plethora of malignancies across different histologies. These fusions represent the most frequent mechanism of oncogenic activation of these receptor tyrosine kinases, and biomarkers for the use of TRK small molecule inhibitors. Given the varying frequency of NTRK1/2/3 fusions, crucial to the administration of NTRK inhibitors is the development of optimal approaches for the detection of human cancers harbouring activating NTRK1/2/3 fusion genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Experts from several Institutions were recruited by the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Translational Research and Precision Medicine Working Group (TR and PM WG) to review the available methods for the detection of NTRK gene fusions, their potential applications, and strategies for the implementation of a rational approach for the detection of NTRK1/2/3 fusion genes in human malignancies. A consensus on the most reasonable strategy to adopt when screening for NTRK fusions in oncologic patients was sought, and further reviewed and approved by the ESMO TR and PM WG and the ESMO leadership.

RESULTS: The main techniques employed for NTRK fusion gene detection include immunohistochemistry, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), RT-PCR, and both RNA-based and DNA-based next generation sequencing (NGS). Each technique has advantages and limitations, and the choice of assays for screening and final diagnosis should also take into account the resources and clinical context.

CONCLUSION: In tumours where NTRK fusions are highly recurrent, FISH, RT-PCR or RNA-based sequencing panels can be used as confirmatory techniques, whereas in the scenario of testing an unselected population where NTRK1/2/3 fusions are uncommon, either frontline sequencing (preferentially RNA-sequencing) or screening by immunohistochemistry followed by sequencing of positive cases should be pursued.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Molecular subclassification of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

| Inflammation subclass (38%) | Proliferation subclass (62%) |
|--|--|
| Activation of inflammatory pathways | Activation of oncogenic signalling pathways |
| Overexpression of cytokines (IL-10/IL-6) | RAS, MAPK |
| STAT3 activation | C-MET, BRAF, mutations in KRAS |
| Cholangiolar differentiation | Genomic resemblance to poor-prognosis hepatocellular carcinoma |
| | Aggressive clinical behaviours and poor prognosis |
| | Hepatic stem cell-like features |
| | Chromosomal instability |
| | IDH mutations |
| | Moderate/poor differentiation and intraneural invasion |

| Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Classification | Small Duct Type | Large Duct Type | | |
| Gross Type | Mass-forming Mix | ked Periductal Infiltrating | | |
| Cell of Origin | | | | |
| | Canal of Hering Bile ductule | Columnar cholangiocytes Peribiliary glands | | |
| Main Etiology | Chronic hepatitis HBV / HCV Alcoholic / Metabolic | Hepatolithiasis Liver fluke PSC | | |
| Immuno- histochemistry & Mucin stain | NCAM N-cadherin CRP | S100P Mucin | | |
| Frequent Mutations | BAP1 IDH1/2 FGFR2 fusion | KRAS TP53 SMAD4 | | |
| Suggested Molecular Classification* | Inflammation Class Proliferation Class | | | |
| Patient Outcome | Favorable | Poor | | |

Chung T, Front Med (Lausanne) 2022

Combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma

Table 1. Evolution of the WHO classification of cHCC-CCA.

| | 2000 WHO Classification (3rd Edition) | 2010 WHO Classification (4th Edition) | 2019 WHO Classification (5th Edition) |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Tumor category | Malignant epithelial tumors | Malignancies of mixed or uncertain origin | Malignant biliary tumors |
| Tumor entities or subtypes | cHCC-CCA | cHCC-CCA, classical type | cHCC-CCA (b) |
| | | cHCC-CCA with stem cell features ^(a) , | |
| | | typical subtype | |
| | | cHCC-CCA with stem cell features, intermediate-cell type | Intermediate cell carcinoma ^(c) |
| | | cHCC-CCA with stem cell features, cholangiolocellular type | Cholangiolocarcinoma ^(d) |

Combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma

- Unequivocal presence of both hepatocytic and cholangiocytic differentiation
- 2-5% of primary liver carcinomas
- Synonyms: miced HCC-CCA, mixed hepatobiliary carcinoma, hepatocholangiocarcinoma, biphenotypic primary liver cancer

Combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma



(B)

CK19



HepPar-1

Choi JH, Biomedicines 2022

Combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma: pathogenesis

- Three possible pathogenetic processes:
 - HCC and CCA may arise independently and separately
 - cHHC-CCA may originate from stem/progenitor cells that diffentiate into both hepatocytic and cholangiocytic lines
 - HCC may arise first and transform into CCA at varying degrees

Theise ND, *Histopathology* 2003 Li L, *Am J Pathol* 2018 Choi JH, *Biomedicines* 2022

Combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma: histopathology

- Two components are either close to each other or extensively intermingled
- No consensus regarding a cutoff for each component
- Transitional are between the two components often exhibits mixed features with intermediate morphology
- Distant metastasis can show both components, or one single component

Intermediate cell carcinoma

- 2010, WHO classification: 'cHCC-CCA with stem cell features, intermediate-cell subtype'
- 2018, Brunt et al. :'intermediate cell carcinoma'
- 2019, WHO classification: 'intermediate cell carcinoma'

Intermediate cell carcinoma



(B)



HepPar-1

Choi JH, Biomedicines 2022