

Next generation sequencing: basic principles Claude Van Campenhout

MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY COURSE FOR RESIDENTS IN PATHOLOGY

Introduction

____ 1953 : Discovery of DNA double helix structure

(Watson & Crick & Franklin)

– 1973 : First sequence of 24 bp sequenced (Gilbert & Maxam)

- 1977 : Sanger sequencing method published

Frederick Sanger (13 August 1918 – 19 November 2013) was an English <u>biochemist</u> University of Cambridge, UK

- In 1958, he was awarded a Nobel Prize in Chemistry "for his work on the <u>structure of</u> <u>proteins</u>, especially that of <u>insulin</u>". He identified how the amino acid chains are linked together.
- In 1980, <u>Walter Gilbert</u> and Sanger shared half of the chemistry prize "for their contributions concerning the determination of base <u>sequences in nucleic acids</u>".

Sanger Sequencing (1977)



Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 74, No. 12, pp. 5463-5467, December 1977 Biochemistry

DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors

(DNA polymerase/nucleotide sequences/bacteriophage \$\$\phi_X174\$)

F. SANGER, S. NICKLEN, AND A. R. COULSON

Medical Research Council Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 2QH, England

Contributed by F. Sanger, October 3, 1977

ABSTRACT A new method for determining nucleotide sequences in DNA is described. It is similar to the "plus and minus" method [Sanger, F. & Coulson, A. R. (1975) J. Mol. Biol. 94, 441-448] but makes use of the 2',3'-dideoxy and arabinonucleoside analogues of the normal deoxynucleoside triphosphates, which act as specific chain-terminating inhibitors of DNA polymerase. The technique has been applied to the DNA of bacteriophage ϕ X174 and is more rapid and more accurate than either the plus or the minus method.





New method for determining nucleotide sequences in DNA

Introduction

____ 1953 : Discovery of DNA double helix structure

(Watson & Crick & Franklin)

— 1973 : First sequence of 24 bp sequenced (Gilbert & Maxam)

1977 : Sanger sequencing method published

_ 1983 : development of PCR

1987 : 1st automated sequencer : Applied Biosystems Prism 37

Sanger Sequencing

First generation sequencing (semi-automated)



Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. 74, No. 12, pp. 5463-5467, December 1977 Biochemistry

DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors

(DNA polymerase/nucleotide sequences/bacteriophage \$X174)

F. SANGER, S. NICKLEN, AND A. R. COULSON

Medical Research Council Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 2QH, England

Contributed by F. Sanger, October 3, 1977





Sanger Sequencing

Advantages and limitations:

- $\checkmark\,$ Standard and most widely used method
- ✓ Long Read length (up to 800 bp)



- Low throughput (1-96 reads/run)
- Limited output: +/- 80 000 bases per run
 - E.g. 1 single gene for 100 patients or 100 genes for 1 single patient
- Sequence mixture

Sanger Sequencing: limitations

Sanger sequencing

| Position | Genotype |
|----------|----------|
| 170 | С |
| 176 | T/C |



Up to 1000 bases 1 region at a time Sensitivity around 20%

Introduction

 1953 : Discovery of DNA double helix structure (Watson & Crick & Franklin)

- 1973 : First sequence of 24 bp sequenced (Gilbert & Maxam)
 1977 : Sanger sequencing method published
- ____ 1983 : development of PCR
 - ____ 1987 : 1st automated sequencer : Applied Biosystems Prism 37

1996 : Capillary sequencer : ABI 310

2003 : Human genome sequenced



February 2001

The human genome project

- The human genome project : Started in 1990 by the NIH & the U.S.
 Department of Energy:
 - Sequence the 3 billion base of the human genome
 - Discover the 20.000 human genes



• Lasted 13 years \rightarrow Cost 3 billion \$ (1\$/base)

The human genome project

Adapted from

- The human genome project : Started in 1990 by the NIH & the U.S. Department of Energy:
 - Sequence the 3 billion base of the human genome
 - Discover the 20.000 human genes
- Lasted 13 years →Cost 3 billion \$ (1\$/base)



- 2008: James Watson: 2 years, \$2 million (NGS)
- 2009: 6 months, \$200,000
- 2010: 1 month, \$20,000
- 2011: 2 weeks, \$5,000
- 2012 : 2 weeks, \$3,000
- 2015(?): <2 days, <\$1,000



Next Generation Sequencing

"Next-Generation" Sequencing technologies enable:

- ✓ Rapid generation of data
- ✓ By sequencing massive amounts of DNA (shorter read lengths)
- ✓ In parallel on a microchip (in a single reaction)

"Massive Parallel Sequencing"

"High Throughput Sequencing" : +/- 800 000 000 000 bp per run



E.g. many gene fragments for many patients or whole exomes/genomes ...

✓ Has enabled revolution in cancer research

Source: Jason M. Rizzo and Michael J. Buck. Key Principles and Clinical Applications of "Next-Generation" DNA Sequencing. Cancer Prev Res; 5(7) 2012.

Sanger Sequencing vs NGS

- Sanger Sequencing
 - Low throughput (100kb)
 - High cost
 - Slow
 - Low sensitivity (20-30% of mutant DNA)
 - -> low coverage depth

- Next generation sequencing
 - High throughput (1-100 Gb)
 - Low cost
 - Fast
 - High sensitivity
 - -> high coverage depth





Sanger Sequencing vs NGS



Begin at the beginning and go on till you come to the end: then stop. Lewis Carroll, *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland*.

Sanger Sequencing vs NGS

Eva détestait cette expression, « garçon manqué ». Elle s'était toujours indignée que les filles, pratiquant des exercices assez physiques, soient apparentées à des garçons. Les êtres humains n'étant pas monolithiques, grimper aux arbres, par exemple, ne représentait pas une contradiction à l'exercice de la féminité. Eva partageait l'opinion qu'hommes et femmes devaient développer une multitude d'activités identiques dans différents registres. En ce domaine, le cinéma du XXIe siècle avait parfois suivi une évolution progressiste. La femme pouvait être forte physiquement, tout en gardant ses attraits ; même Blanche Neige en armure, interprétée par l'adorable Kristen Stewart, arc-en-cielée comme un cœur pur. Gustave se pencha vers Eva, susurrant sur le ton du conspirateur moyen.

 J'ai bien envie de leur balancer une mornifle dans les canines ou de leur claquer le beignet aux pommes.

 Attention de ne pas céder à des désirs incontrôlables. Ne te laisse pas envahir par la haine qui rend aveugle.

— Entre toi et les curés, je finirai donc aveugle et sourd. Pas la haine qui m'a envahi, mais les Pruscos. Je vais narrer à ces lambeaux humains que je leur griffe le nez. Le projet devrait occuper leur attention.

 M'étonnerait bien que cette perspective tigresque suffise, comme dirait l'autre.

 — Sait-on jamais, si ces cuistres ont décidé de faire une priorité de leur esthétique.

— Je n'ai pas l'impression que ces gangreneux donnent beaucoup dans le séduisant, rétorqua Eva. Ou alors, taxidermisés éventuellement.

— En fond de couloir de préférence.

— Et puis, à distance, en hauteur, question effluves, a priori, pas triste non plus, une haleine de chacal se roulant dans la charogne faisandée, précisa Eva. On dirait un tas d'immondices vivant. Un relooking radical au programme.

- Tu m'expliqueras plus tard ton affaire avec les radicaux.

— En fond de couloir de préférence.

— Et puis, à distance, en hauteur, question effluves, a priori, pas triste non plus, une haleine de chacal se roulant dans la charogne faisandée, précisa Eva. On dirait un tas d'immondices

toujours indignée que les filles, pratiquant des exercices assez physiques, soient apparentées à des garçons. Les êtres humains n'étant pas monolithiques, grimper aux arbres, par exemple, ne

- J'ai bien envie de leur balancer une mornifle dans les canines ou de leur claquer le beignet aux pommes.

nines ou de leur claquer le beignet aux pommes.

charogne faisandée, précisa Eva. On dirait un tas d'immondices vivant. Un relooking radical au programme.

Tu m'expliqueras plus tard ton affaire avec les radicaux.

Eva détestait cette expression, « garçon manqué ». Elle s'était toujours indignée que les filles, pratiquant des exercices assez



NGS: from WGS to targeted sequencing

=> whole exomes/genomes or many gene fragments for many patients



NGS: different technologies

Table 1

NGS platforms currently employed.

| Sr. No. | Platform | Read length | Sequencing approach | |
|---------|---|-------------|---|--|
| 1 | Illumina/ Solexa, Illumina, Inc. | 30–40 bp | Sequencing by synthesis with reversible terminators | |
| 2 | ABI/SOLiD, Applied Biosystems | 35 bp | Massively parallel sequencing by Ligation | |
| 3 | 454/Roche FLX system, Roche Applied Science | 200–300 bp | Pyrosequencing on solid support | |
| 4 | Ion Torrent | 200 bp | Proton detection | |

Next Generation Sequencing

 <u>Definition</u>: Technologies that share the ability to massively parallel sequence millions of DNA templates



NGS workflow



NGS workflow



DNA Library: capture

WGS/WES/Targeted sequencing



DNA Library: amplicon or capture

WGS/WES/Targeted sequencing



NGS workflow



Clonal Amplification



Sequencing



Reversible terminator sequencing

semiconductor sequencing

Reversible terminator sequencing







lon 510[™] Chip 2–3 M reads







lon 520[™] Chip **3–6 M reads**



lon 550™ Chip 100–130 M reads





4 nucleotides flow sequentially



No camera, just a pH sensor





NGS workflow



Data analysis (1)



17 juin 2022

Primary analysis

Base Calling



Signal

Primary analysis

- Trimming removing parts of reads
 - Removing adapters / barcodes
 - Removing parts of reads that have low quality
 - Quality control with FASTQC or Samstats tools



Base Quality Values

- Each base in a read also has assigned base quality value
- Base Quality values are in the Phred scale Defined as -10×log₁₀ (error probability) Predicts the probability of correct base call

Quality score interpretation

$$Q = -10 \log_{10} P$$
 \longrightarrow $P = 10^{\frac{-Q}{10}}$

| Phred Quality Score | Probability of incorrect base call | Base call accuracy |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 10 | 1 in 10 | 90% |
| 20 | 1 in 100 | 99% |
| 30 | 1 in 1000 | 99.9% |
| 40 | 1 in 10000 | 99.99% |
| 50 | 1 in 100000 | 99.999% |

Quality Control

Unaligned Reads



Quality Control

Unaligned Reads





Aligned to Home sapiens hg19





| 585 M | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| AQ17 Total Bases | | | | | |

Alignment Quality

| | AQ17 | AQ20 | Perfect |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Total Number of Bases [bp] | 585 M | 563 M | 522 M |
| Mean Length [bp] | 108 | 106 | 100 |
| Longest Alignment [bp] | 334 | 334 | 334 |
| Mean Coverage Depth [x] | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
Data analysis (1)



17 juin 2022

Secondary analysis

Alignment

GACGTG CCTCTCCCTCCCA

DNA Read

Reference genome (human : hg19)

Alignment / mapping



Variant calling





Secondary analysis

Annotation

| barcode | sample_name | chrom | position | ref | variant | gene_id | type | allele_call | genotype | frequency | quality | coverage | allele_cov | aa_mut_type | cds_mut_syntax |
|---------------|-------------|-------|-----------|-----|---------|---------|------|--------------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr2 | 212578392 | AAG | GAA | ERBB4 | MNP | Heterozygous | AAG/GAA | 17.1 | 2772.22 | 1366 | 234 | none | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr2 | 212578380 | AA | - | ERBB4 | DEL | Heterozygous | AA/- | 47.8 | 2772.22 | 1366 | 653 | Intron | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr4 | 1807894 | G | А | FGFR3 | SNP | Homozygous | A/A | 99.8 | 33729.2 | 2000 | 1995 | Silent | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr4 | 1807922 | G | А | FGFR3 | SNP | Heterozygous | G/A | 50.1 | 10742.2 | 1964 | 983 | Intron. | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr4 | 55141055 | А | G | PDGFRA | SNP | Homozygous | G/G | 99.9 | 30314.8 | 1796 | 1795 | Silent | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr7 | 55228053 | А | т | EGFR | SNP | Homozygous | T/T | 100.0 | 33779.1 | 1988 | 1988 | Intron. | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr7 | 55249063 | G | А | EGFR | SNP | Homozygous | A/A | 99.8 | 33792.1 | 2000 | 1996 | Silent | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr7 | 116435768 | С | Т | MET | SNP | Homozygous | T/T | 99.6 | 33621.5 | 1999 | 1992 | Silent | |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr7 | 140481402 | С | G | BRAF | SNP | Heterozygous | C/G | 6.8 | 180.741 | 1994 | 135 | p.G469A | c.1406G>C |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr11 | 534242 | А | G | HRAS | SNP | Homozygous | G/G | 99.4 | 7750.83 | 520 | 517 | Silent | c.81T>C |
| IonXpress_005 | 22M01490 | chr17 | 7579472 | G | С | TP53 | SNP | Heterozygous | G/C | 49.8 | 4768.01 | 880 | 438 | Polymorphism. | |

Preferably HGVS nomenclature: http://www.hgvs.org/

Secondary analysis

Indel

• Variant calling

| p11.22 | q11 q12 | q13.11 | q1 3.2 | q14.2 | q15 q21 | .2 q21.31 | q21.33 | q23.1 | q23.3 q24.12 | q24.23 q2 |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| , | 25.398.260 bp | | 25.398.270 bp | 781 | 25.398.280 bp | 1 | 25.398.290 bp | 1 | 25.398.300 bp | 25.398.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Å | | | | |
| | | | | | | A | | | | |
| | | | | | | A | | | | |
| | | | | | | A | | | | |
| | | | | | | A | T | | T | |
| | | | | | | A | | | | |
| | | | | | | A | | | | |
| | | | | | | Å | | | | |
| CTGT Q | ATCGTC I T | AAGGO LA | S K | TGCO | TACGCC V G | ACCAC G A | G C T C C A | ACTA V V | CCACAAG VL | TTTATAT K Y |
| | | | | KRA | s | | | | | |

SNP/MNP



Data analysis



17 juin 2022



Next generation sequencing: data analysis and pratical aspects

MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY COURSE FOR RESIDENTS IN PATHOLOGY

Cancer research by NGS

- ✓ Many complete tumor genomes have been sequenced
- ✓ Many mutations in "cancer genes" per tumor
- ✓ "Cancer is a disease of the genome"
- ✓ **New insight:** every tumor is different every patient is different

Number of mutations per tumor demonstrated by NGS



Cancer research by NGS

Translation to the clinic

More refined diagnosis and subtyping of tumors

Clinical findings + medical imaging + histology + immunophenotype

+ genome

Challenge for therapy

- ✓ New "targets" for therapy "targeted" therapy
 - Revolution in clinical research
- ✓ Selection of therapy based on the genome of the tumor



"personalized therapy" of cancer- "precision medicine"

Personalized medicine in oncology

Highly facilitated by NGS detection of DNA variants



Lung adenocarcinoma

Different genetic subtypes – different cancers



Chaft JE, Rimner A, Weder W, Azzoli CG, Kris MG, Cascone T. Evolution of systemic therapy for stages I-III non-metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021 Sep;18(9):547-557.

Multiple EGFR mutations are found in NSCLCs T790M most associated with drug resistance



*The most clinically relevant mutation in exon 20. **1.** Sharma et al. Nat Rev Cancer 2007;7:169–81.

FDA approved targeted therapies in solid malignancies (2020)

| GENE TARGET | NGS | GENOMIC ALTERATION | MALIGNANCY | THERAPEUTIC AGENTS |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| EML4-ALK* | + | Rearrangement | Lung Cancer | Crizotinib, Alectinib, Ceritinib, Brigatinib, Lorlatinib |
| BRAF* | + | Mutation | Melanoma | Vemurafenib, Dabrafenib, Trametinib, Cobi- metinib, Encorafenib, Binimetinib |
| | | Mutation | Anaplastic thyroid cancer, lung cancer | Dabrafenib, trametinib |
| BRCA1/2* | + | Mutation | Ovarian Cancer, Prostate Cancer | Olaparib, Niraparib, Talazoparib, Rucaparib |
| | | Mutation | Triple negative breast cancer | Olaparib |
| CKIT* | + | Mutation | GIST, Mastocytosis | Imatinib, Sunitinib, Regorafenib |
| EGFR* | + | Mutation | Lung Cancer | Erlotinib, Gefitinib, Afatinib, Osimertinib, Dacomitinib |
| EGFR* | | Expression | Colon | Cetuximab, Panitumumab |
| | | | Lung Cancers | Necitumumab |
| HER2* | | Amplification, overexpression | Breast Cancer | Trastuzumab, Lapatinib, Pertuzumab, Ado- trastuzumab emtansine, Neratinib |
| | | Amplification, overexpression | Gastric Cancer | Trastuzumab |
| FGFR3, FGFR2* | + | Mutation | Bladder cancer | Erdafitinib |
| Homologous Recombinatio | on Deficiency (HRD)* | Composite | Ovarian cancer | Niraparib |
| C-KIT* | + | Mutation, expression | GIST | Imatinib, sunitinib, regorafenib |
| Mismatch Repair (MMR)* | + | Expression, mutation | Tumor-agnostic, MSI-H Cancers | Pembrolizumab |
| | | Expression, mutation | Colorectal MSI-H Cancers | Nivolumab |
| NTRK* | + | Fusion | Tumor-agnostic, NTRK+ cancers | Entrectinib, larotrectinib |
| PDGFRA* | + | Mutation | GIST, Sarcoma | Imatinib, Sunitinib, Olaratumab |
| COL1A1-PDGFB | + | Rearrangement | Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans | Imatinib |
| PDL-1* | | Expression | Lung, triple negative breast, urothelial, cervi- cal cancer | Pembrolizumab, atezolizumab |
| PI3K* | + | Mutation | Breast Cancer | Alpelisib |
| SMO and PTCH1 | + | Mutation | Basal Cell Carcinoma | Vismodegib, Sonidegib |
| K-RAS* | + | Mutation | Colon cancer | Cetuximab, panitumumab (in RAS-non mutated) |
| RET* | + | Mutation | Thyroid Cancer | Vandetanib, Cabozantinib, Lenvatinib |
| ROS-1* | + | Rearrangement | Lung cancer | Crizotinib, Entrectinib |
| VEGF/VEGFR | | Expression | Kidney, Colon, Lung, Gastric, Cervix, Ovarian Cancers | Bevacizumab, Ramucirumab, Regorafenib, Ziv-aflibercept, Axitinib, Pazopanib, Suniti- nib, Sorafenib |
| CDK4/6 | | Amplification | Breast Cancer | Palbociclib, Ribociclib, Abemaciclib |
| mTOR | + | Mutation | Breast, Renal, Brain Cancers | Everolimus, Temsirolimus |
| Estrogen Receptor* | | Expression | Breast Cancer | Tamoxifen, fulvestrant, anastrozole, letro- zole, exemestane, everolimus, palbociclib, ribociclib, abemaciclib |
| Androgen Receptor | | Expression | Prostate Cancer | Abiraterone, Enzalutamide, Apalutamide, Darolutamide |

Other applications of DNA variant detection



NGS versus traditional methods

Benefits in oncology

- ✓ Multiple anomalies at different genomic scales can be assayed simultaneously
- ✓ More sensitive than Sanger sequencing
- ✓ Single extraction and single test instead of multiple tests
 - Cost effective
 - Improved turn-around time by avoiding sequential testing
 - Tissue preservation many genes simultaneously assessed from single extraction
- Potential for discovery of novel actionable targets
- ✓ Extreme flexibility of analysis types
 - Many different genomic target types can be detected

Pre-analytics





Needle biopsy





preservation tissue structure

| rage and hand | lling |
|---------------|-------|
|---------------|-------|

-

Recommendations



- min. 10% neoplastic cells
- tumor enrichment (macrodissection)
- no necrotic tissue or normal tissue
- fixation: min 6h, max 48h, in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution
 - FFPE storage: max ± 3years

| INFORMATIONS SUR L'ECHANTILLON | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| N° identification A-P : | Diagnostic A-P : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date du prélèvement :/// | Heure du prélèvement : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date de fixation :// | Heure de fixation : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temps de fixation : \Box inconnu \Box < 6 heures | \Box 6 – 48 heures \Box > 48 heures | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixateur : | re fixateur : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nombre de blocs de paraffine envoyés : Nombre de lames blanches envoyées : date de | coupe :/ | | | | | | | | | | | |

Extraction Workflow



NGS workflow in Molecular Pathology



Basic workflow for NGS sequencing technologies





NGS reactions generate huge sequence data sets in the range of megabases (millions) to gigabases (billions)



Source: Good et al. Genome Biology 2014, 15:438

bottleneck



Importance of bioinformatics

Need of bioinformatics team / tools for:

- \checkmark the sequence alignment
- ✓ variant calling
- \checkmark variant filtration

=> to make sense of the huge amount of NGS data.

Bioinformatics...

In house OR Commercial softwares available:

- ✓ Highlander
- ✓ SophiaGenetics
- ✓ NetxGNEe (Softgenetics)
- ✓ DataReporter softwares from Illumina, Agilent, QiaGEN,...

BUT even after bioinformatics data analysis and filtration, NGS data still needs manual interpretation of those identified genes and variants.

Tertiary analysis

Variant analysis – Biological Interpretation



Tertiary analysis



- Li, MM *et al.* Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer. A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, Americal Society of Clinical Oncology, and Collage of American Pathologists. *J Mol Diagn 2017*, 19: 4-23.
- Sukhai, M. A. *et al.* A classification system for clinical relevance of somatic variants identified in molecular profiling of cancer. *Genet. Med.* 1–9 (2015). doi:10.1038/gim.2015.47

Databases for clinical classification

Various ones with various criteria:

- ✓ COSMIC
- ✓ cBioPortal
- ✓ My Cancer Genome
- ✓ ClinVar
- ✓ PubMed
- ✓ ...
- \Rightarrow Do not limit yourself to one option!
- ⇒ Look at: date of last update, levels of evidence, variant/tumor type/drug combination, ...

| $\langle \rangle$ | | Ē | cancer.sanger.ac.uk | Ċ | 0 1 1 + |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| COS Catalogue Of Somatic M | utations In Cancer | | | | |
| Projects ▼ Data ▼ Tools | ▼ News ▼ Help ▼ | About V Search CC | DSMIC SEARCH | | Login 🗸 |
| Gene | | | | GRCh | 38 · COSMIC v82 |
| КІТ | Gene view | | | | |
| Gene view Image: Constraint of the second | The gene view histogr default. Restrict the v left. <u>Show more</u> | ram is a graphical view iew to a region of the g | of mutations across the gene. These m gene by dragging across the histogram | nutations are displayed at the amino acid le to highlight the region of interest, or by us | evel across the full length of the gene by ing the sliders in the filters panel to the |
| ☑ Drug resistance □ ☑ Tissue distribution □ | 413 - 525 | 530 535 | 540 545 550 555 | 560 max: 413 G | |
| Genome browser Image: Comparison of the second se | | | | A | |
| ☑ Variants ☑ References <u>Reset page</u> | Substitutions | | | G D | |
| Filters Show advanced filters | | | | Presumed pa | thogenic mutation |
| RangeShow input fields1523 - 560977 | 0 Amino acid TPLL | IGFVIVAGMMC | p.M541L (c.1621A>C) | Clinical re | levance? |
| 1 245 489 733 977 | Pfam | | Mutation count: 17 | | |
| Coordinate system | | | | | |
| Amino-acid cDNA | | | | | |
| Apply filters Reset filters | 592 - Insertions | | | | |
| | Deletions | | | | |
| | CNV Gain | | | | |
| | CNV Loss | | | | 6 |

Databases for clinical classification https://www.cbioportal.org/



516 Mutations: includes 120 duplicate mutations in patients with multiple samples (page 1 of 21)

| Study of Origin T | Sample ID | Cancer Type Detailed | Protein Change | Annotation i 🔮 🔮 🔥 🕶 | Mutation Type | Сору # | COSMIC | Allele Freq (T) | # Mut in Sample |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 💮 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 2253 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 2150 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1752 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1186 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 2199 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1352 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😔 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1755 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1305 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1260 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😔 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1687 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1351 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 🎯 🛃 😳 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1270 |
| Colorectal Adenocarcinoma (| coadread_dfci_2016 | Colorectal Adenocarcinoma | V600E | 6 🕹 😔 🔥 | Missense | | 23294 | | 1079 |

🚯 🙆 Columns (10 / 277) 🗸

Q

Databases for clinical classification https://www.mycancergenome.org



MY CANCER GENOME & GENETICALLY INFORMED CANCER MEDICINE

Clinical Trials

Diseases Biomarkers

Drugs

Pathways

| Biomarkers / | BRAF V600E | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| S Back to Biomarkers Li | st Overview | |
| > Associated Gene | ic Gene Location [1] | 7q34 |
| Biomarkers | Pathways | Kinase fusions, MAP kinase signaling |
| > Associated Disea | ses Variant Type | Substitution - Missense |
| | Affected Exon Number | 15 |
| | Gene | BRAF |
| | Protein Domain [2] | Protein kinase |
| | SIFT Prediction [3] | Deleterious |
| | ClinVar Prediction [3] | Pathogenic |

BRAF V600E is present in 3.05% of AACR GENIE cases, with colon adenocarcinoma, thyroid gland papillary carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, melanoma, and lung adenocarcinoma having the greatest prevalence [4].



Top Disease Cases with BRAF V600E

Clinical Trials

View Clinical Trials for BRAF V600E

BRAF V600E serves as an inclusion eligibility criterion in 97 clinical trials, of which 71 are open and 26 are closed. Of the trials that contain BRAF V600E as an inclusion criterion, 1 is early phase 1 (1 open), 25 are phase 1 (17 open), 20 are phase 1/phase 2 (17 open), 44 are phase 2 (30 open), and 7 are phase 3 (6 open).

Trials with BRAF V600E in the inclusion eligibility criteria most commonly target melanoma, non-small cell lung carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, malignant solid tumor, and multiple myeloma [5].

Example of output of commercial bioinformatics tool in a case of lung adenocarcinoma (Variant Studio, Illumina)

| 🕙 Illumina VariantStudio 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 X |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------------|-------|----------|--------|
| Home Annotation & Classification | Re | ports He | dp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ~ 0 |
| 📄 😂 Open 💾 🔛 | WE | WENT | - | Current Sample: | 11-1737-00 | 389 🝷 | | | | Select A | II A Smaller | Save As Default | | | | | | | | | |
| New 🏠 Close Save Save As | Import | Add Variants | Import | View All Sam | ples | | Remove | ent: 1. Primary filt | Anage Harage | ave Copy | Order A Larger | Apply Default | | | | | | | | | |
| Project | VCF | to sample | Folder | Samples | | | Sample | Filter | Favorites | Tai | ble Options | Layout | | | | | | | | | |
| Filters | 4 | Gene View | | | | | | | | | | | 71. | | | | | | | | 4 |
| General | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variant | ~ | | | | $\mathbf{\wedge}$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gene | ~ | Gene | Chr | Coordinate Va | Alt | Read Depth | Classification | Variant | Consequence | Protein Amino Position Acids | HGVSc | : | HGVSp | COSMIC ID | dbSNP ID | Filters | ClinVar Accession | Transcript | Туре | Genotype | Exonic |
| Company | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consequence | ~ | TP53 | 17 | 7579472 | 99,73 | 8211 Be | nign | G>C/C | missense_variant | 72 P/R | NM_000546.5:c.2150 | C>G | NP_000537.3:p.Pro72Arg | COSM250061:C | rs1042522 | PASS | RCV000152 | NM_000546.5 | snv | hom | yes |
| Population Frequency | * | TP53 | 17 | 7577124 | 45,62 | 3268 US | 1 | ••• C>C/A | missense_variant | 272 V/L | NM_000546.5:c.8140 | G>T I | NP_000537.3:p.Val272Leu | COSM10859:CO | rs121912657 | PASS | RCV000013 | NM_000546.5 | snv | het | yes |
| Cross Sample Subtraction | ~ | TP53 | 17 | 7577081 | 45,05 | 3270 PR | ES PATHOGENIC | T>T/C | missense_variant | 286 E/G | NM_000546.5:c.8574 | A>G | NP_000537.3:p.Glu286Gly | COSM251425:C | | PASS | | NM_000546.5 | snv | het | yes |
| Family Based | ~ | EGFR | 7 | 55259515 | 40,09 | 4749 P/ | THOGENIC | ••• T>T/G | missense_variant | 858 L/R | NM_005228.3:c.2573 | ST>G | NP_005219.2:p.Leu858Arg | COSM29578:CO | rs121434568 | PASS | RCV000018 | NM_005228.3 | • snv | het | yes |
| Custom | ~ | CUNIVZA | 9 | 219/1095 | 5,09 | 123 | | 1>1/G | downsdieam_gene_v | U | | | | COSM12492:CO | 1 | PASS | | NR_0242/4.1 | SILV | net | yes |
| Classification | ~ | | | | V | \mathbf{V} | кеаа | Dep | τn | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Var | riar | nt alle | ert le | duency | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | • • • • | | | | 9900109 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apply Ellings - > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apply Faters => | | 🕶 🕶 🖣 Varia | ant 4 of 5 | ► ₩ H I == | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | × |
| Clear Filters | | Show Popula | ation Frequ | uencies 💟 Sho | w Transcript | Info 🔽 | Show Custom Anno | tations 🔽 Show | ClinVar 📝 Show Cosmic | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| | | Variants Ge | enes No | -Call Regions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Filter History | | (| 2 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Classification of the variants



"Pathogenecity" versus "clinical relevance"

68

Clinically relevant genes in ...

Colorectal cancers:

✓ KRAS, NRAS, BRAF

Melanoma:

✓ BRAF, NRAS, KIT

Lung:

✓ EGFR, KRAS, (ALK, ROS1)

GIST:

✓ KIT, PDGFRA

- Actionable mutations
 - Predictive for good response (sensitivity mutation)
 - Predictive for lack of response (resistance mutation)
- Overlap "solid tumor panel"
- Most gene panels also contain emerging targets or frequently mutated genes without clinical relevance at present
- Typical panel contains 15 to 50 genes
- No world wide consensus on diagnostic gene panel composition
- ComPerMed initiative in Belgium

Importance of multidisciplinary approach

"molecular tumor board" – clinicians, radiologists, pathologists, geneticists, bioinformatician, ...

Clinical case information:

- Age, diagnosis, stage, clinical status
- Prior treatments for metastatic cancer
- Measurable disease?
- Sample being tested
- Other clinically relevant information (clinical trial eligibility)
- Specific question

Specimen and/or molecular data:

- Specimen for genomic testing
- Genomic test report

Nomenclature of variants

Reporting mutations

Standardized nomenclature to promote portability, enduring meaning, and accuracy

Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS): www.hgvs.org/mutnomen/

BRAF mutation analysis:

Mutation detected in codon 600, exon 15 (GTG to GAG) of the BRAF gene that would change the encoded amino acid from valine to glutamate (p.Val600Glu)



Guidelines

- Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer (*American group*). MM. Li, M. Datto, EJ. Duncavage *et al*. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics 2017;19(1).
- The Belgian next generation sequencing guidelines for haematological and solid tumours. A. Hébrant, G. Froyen, B. Maes, *et al.* Belg J Med Oncol 2017;11(2):56-67.
- Pathological diagnosis and molecular testing in non-small cell lung cancer: Belgian guidelines. P. Pauwels, M. Remmelink, D. Hoton, *et al.* Belg J Med Oncol 2016;10(4):123-131.
- RAS-testing in colorectal cancer: Belgian guidelines. A. Jouret-Mourin, C. Cuvelier, P. Demetter, et al. Belg J Med Oncol 2015;9(5):183-90.
- \Rightarrow Flow charts for variant analysis (Biological classification of the variants)
- ⇒ But no world wide standardization Subjectivity remains

 \checkmark
NGS reporting - Main Challenges

To interpret the clinical genomic data:

- \checkmark accurately and unambiguously
- \checkmark in a timely manner
- Significant number of mutated genes have been identified in the major tumor types, although only a limited set have been shown to be "driver" mutations
 - Of those, the number of "actionable" mutations remains limited
 - Challenge for report to clinic:
 - Only (future) actionable?
 - All pathogenic?
 - What about 'variant of unknown significance'?
- But knowledge is increasing rapidly continuous traning of pathologists and molecular biologists required!
- Approved versus non-approved actionable mutation/drug combinations
 - No standardization in reporting, especially not in case of non-approved targets

NGS : application

Applications in Oncology \rightarrow Clinical practice ???



NGS: variants and much more...

- detection of somatic defects with NGS
- copy number alterations, indels, point mutations, fusions, transcritptomics



NGS Application in Oncology

Applications in Oncology \rightarrow Clinical practice ???



Fusion dectection using AmpliSeq ThermoFisher Scientific



- Archer FusionPlex library prep
- Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) technology



 \rightarrow only 1 fusion partner needs to be included in the Archer FusionPlex assay to pick up the other fusion partner gene!

- Archer FusionPlex library prep
- Anchored Multiplex PCR (AMP) technology



| | | PROS | CONS |
|-----|---|--|---|
| | Hybrid-capture | Characterization of both known and unknown fusion variants of target genes Easily scalable to large gene panels Adequate for DNA and RNA gene fusion analysis At DNA level it does not require RNA purification and allows a simultaneous analysis of different gene variants | Higher RNA input than amplicon-based methods Difficulty with fusion variants involving large DNA intronic regions with repetitive sequences |
| A A | Amplicon-based: <i>Classical multiplex</i> <i>PCR (mPCR)</i> <i>Anchored</i> <i>multiplex PCR</i> | Low RNA input Particularly effective with small and mid-size panels Analysis of both known and unknown fusion variants of target genes (anchored mPCR) 5' and 3' imbalance evaluation can increase test diagnostic accuracy | Not adequate for gene fusion analysis at DNA level Primer design can be complex Characterization of only known fusion variants included in the panel (classical mPCR) PCR bias like allele dropout can impact on analysis result |
| | A) Hybrid-capture approach Known partner Target gene Capture | B) Classical Amplicon-based approach Known partner Target gene primer primer | C) Anchored multiplex PCR Adapter Known partner Target gene |

| Known partner Target gene | Known partner Target gene | Adapter Known partner Target gene | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Unknown partner Target gene Capture probe | Unknown partner Target gene | Adapter Unknown partner Target gene | |
| | Bruno R, et al. I | Diagnostics (Basel). 2020 Ju | |

NGS Application in Oncology

Applications in Oncology \rightarrow Clinical practice ???



Coverage

- Sequence <u>coverage</u> (also called "depth") refers to the average number of times a base pair is sequenced in a given experiment.
- ✓ Minimum coverage should be determined during the validation to avoid false negative and false positive results



Coverage

Definition : number of times a nucleotide (or a region) is read during the sequencing process.

| 3.270 bp | I | 25.398.280 bp | 1 | 25.398.290 bp | 25.398.300 bp | 25.398.280 bp | 25.398.290 bp | 25.398. |
|----------|---|---------------|------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ť | | | T | | |
| | | | т | | | | I I | |
| | | | T | | | I I | | |
| | | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | T | | | | | |
| | | | T | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | A | T | | | | | |
| | | | T | | | | | |
| | | | T | | | | | |
| | | | T | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ţ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | C C T A C G C C A C | C A G C T C C A A C | ТАССАС |
| | | | | | | ĸ | RAS | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| LCLI | | | | | | | | |
| К | G | V G | G A | G V | V V L | | | |
| | | | NRM0 | | | | | |

<u>Sensitivity = 5%</u>

Coverage 20x -> 1 mutated read ???

Coverage 1000x -> 50 mutated reads

CNV analysis

Nb of sequencing reads per region







CNV analysis ?

• Need validations

– Comparison with FISH gold standard

– Can we detect smaller copy number variation ???

- Size of the panel
 - Number of total regions
 - Number of region per gene

NGS Application in Oncology

- Theoretically:
 - Almost everything is possible
- In Clinical Practice:



Single test Vs WGS

Single test



BRAF V600E 1h30

Single test Vs WGS

| Single test | WES | WGS |
|--------------------|---|--|
| | Coding sequence A 20 000 genes s • Challenging on FF | Coding AND non coding equence |
| BRAF V600E 1h30 | Works on frozen t Several 100 ng Blood sequencing Huge bioinformat | issues in parallel ics needs |
| | Technical e | Costs TAT expertise |

NGS cost does not equal wet lab cost!



Fig. 2. Graph depicting DNA sequencing cost per Mb and genome for past 20 years.

Single test Vs WGS

| Single test | NGS targeted panels | WES | WGS | | |
|--------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Which genes? How many genes? 10? 50? 500? | Coding Coding sequence AND non 20 000 coding genes sequence Challenging on FFPE Works on frozen tissues | | | |
| BRAF V600E 1h30 | | Blood sequerHuge bioinfo | ncing in parallel rmatics needs | | |
| | | Techn | Costs TAT ical expertise | | |

Targeted DNA Sequencing

| _ | Toble 1. Tr | u Ciabt Tur | mar Canaa | | | _ |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------|---|
| | | uəigni iui | nor Genes | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | AKT1 | EGFR | GNAS | NRAS | STK11 | |
| | ALK | ERBB2 | KIT | PDGFRA | TP53 | _ |
| | APC | FBXW7 | KRAS | PIK3CA | | _ |
| | BRAF | FGFR2 | MAP2K1 | PTEN | | |
| | CDH1 | FOXL2 | MET | SMAD4 | | _ |
| | CTNNB1 | GNAQ | MSH6 | SRC | | |
| | | | | | | |

Genes selected from NCCN¹ and CAP² guidelines, late-stage clinical trials³, and relevant publications for lung, colon, melanoma, gastric and ovarian⁴.

| — Table 1: 1 | SACP Can | cer-Related | d Genes — | |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| ABL1 | EGFR | GNAS | MLH1 | RET |
| AKT1 | ERBB2 | HNF1A | MPL | SMAD4 |
| ALK | ERBB4 | HRAS | NOTCH1 | SMARCB1 |
| APC | FBXW7 | IDH1 | NPM1 | SMO |
| ATM | FGFR1 | JAK2 | NRAS | SRC |
| BRAF | FGFR2 | JAK3 | PDGFRA | STK11 |
| CDH1 | FGFR3 | KDR | PIK3CA | TP53 |
| CDKN2A | FLT3 | KIT | PTEN | VHL |
| CSF1R | GNA11 | KRAS | PTPN11 | |
| CTNNB1 | GNAQ | MET | RB1 | |
| | | | | |

Cancer-related genes represented in the TSACP. For a full list of target regions, see the manifest file¹ (MyIllumina login required).

The Ion AmpliSeq[™] Cancer Panel targets 50 genes

| ABL1 | EZH2 | JAK3 | PTEN |
|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| AKT1 | FBXW7 | IDH2 | PTPN11 |
| ALK | FGFR1 | KDR | RB1 |
| APC | FGFR2 | KIT | RET |
| ATM | FGFR3 | KRAS | SMAD4 |
| BRAF | FLT3 | MET | SMARCB1 |
| CDH1 | GNA11 | MLH1 | SM0 |
| CDKN2A | GNAS | MPL | SRC |
| CSF1R | GNAQ | NOTCH1 | STK11 |
| CTNNB1 | HNF1A | NPM1 | TP53 |
| EGFR | HRAS | NRAS | VHL |
| ERBB2 | IDH1 | PDGFRA | |
| ERBB4 | JAK2 | PIK3CA |] |

Ion Ampliseq[™] Colon & lung Panel = 22 genes

| AKT1 | ERBB2 | KRAS | PTEN |
|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| ALK | ERBB4 | MAP2K1 | SMAD4 |
| BRAF | FBXW7 | MET | STK11 |
| CTNNB1 | FGFR1 | NOTCH1 | TP53 |
| DDR2 | FGFR2 | NRAS | |
| EGFR | FGFR3 | PIK3CA | |

TSO 500 panel

| Lung | Melanoma | Colon | P Ovarian | Breast | Gastric | Bladder | Myeloid | Sarcoma |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|----------------------|--|---|
| AKT1 ALK BRAF DDR2 EGFR ERBB2 FGFR1 FGFR3 KRAS MAP2K1 MET NRAS PIK3CA PTEN RET TP53 | BRAF CTNNB1 GNA11 GNAQ KIT MAP2K1 NF1 NRAS PDGFRA PIK3CA PTEN TP53 | AKT1 BRAF HRAS KRAS MET MLH1 MSH2 MSH6 NRAS PIK3CA PMS2 PTEN SMAD4 TP53 | BRAF BRCA1 BRCA2 KRAS PDGFRA FOXL2 TP53 | AKT1 AR BRCA1 BRCA2 ERBB2 FGFR1 FGFR2 PIK3CA PTEN | BRAF KIT KRAS MET MLH1 PDGFRA TP53 | MSH6 PMS2 TSC1 | ABL1 ASXL1 CALR CEBPA ETV6 EZH2 FLT3 GATA2 IDH1 IDH2 JAK2 KIT MPL NPM1 RUNX1 SF3B1 SRSF2 TP53 | ALK APC BRAF CDK4 CTNNB1 ETV6 EWSR1 FOX01 GL11 KIT MDM2 MY0D1 NAB2 NF1 PAX3 PAX7 PDGFRA PDGFRB SDHB SDHC SMARCB1 TFE3 WT1 |

Limitations

- Samples characteristics
 - Quantity : small biopsy, cytology
 - Quality : FFPE -> DNA/RNA integrity (short DNA/RNA fragments)
- Inherent characteristics of tumor samples
 - Contamination with normal tissue
 - Aneuploidy
 - Tumor heterogeneity
 - Low frequency variant detection
 - High coverage necessity



Limitations

- TAT
 - wet-bench (from sample reception to sequencing : 3-4 days)
 - dry-bench (4 24 hours)
 - Analysis
 - Answer within 10 working days
- COST
 - INAMI/RIZIV reimbursement

Technical issues to consider while reporting (1)

- ✓ Type of starting material difficult tissues
- Composition of the starting material macrodissection may have been necessary to enrich the neoplastic tissue zone
- ✓ Neoplastic cell percentage whithin the neoplastic tissue zone (e.g. background of normal cells)
 - Minimum required (e.g. 10 %, determined by the limit of detection of NGS (often +/- 5 %))
- ✓ Complicating issues:
 - Intratumor heterogeneity
 - ✓ Distinct clones and subclones
 - \checkmark Particular mutation may be present in only part of the neoplastic cells
 - ✓ May explain differential response to therapy
 - Neoplastic cells are often hyperdiploid: higher DNA content compared to background normal cells

Technical issues to consider while reporting (2)

\checkmark DNA yield and quality

- Highly variable
- Look at deamination
- ✓ Coverage read depth
 - Determines sensitivity of the analysis
 - Minimum required for optimal sensitivity and specificity (e.g. 500 x)
- ✓ Variant allele frequency (VAF)
 - % of DNA sequences with the mutation
 - Consider all the above when interpreting VAF

!!! Take care of ... !!!





Difficult samples







Intra-tumor heterogeneity



Nature Reviews | Cancer

- Different (sub)clones in one tumor
- Importance of sampling impact on mutation profile and VAFs (potential of liquid biopsy!)
- Different mutations in a single sample may have different VAFs

Source: Marusyk A, Almendro V, Polyak K. Intra-tumour heterogeneity: a looking glass for cancer? Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12(5):323-34. 99

Present and Future applications

- ✓ Oncogenic driver identification and resistance detection
- ✓ Mutational burden for sensitivity detection to immunotherapy
- ✓ RNA-seq, Epigenetic changes,
- ✓ Early cancer screening (liquid biopsy)



Article 33 bis de la nomenclature

| 587915 | 587926 | "A.R. 17.5.2019" (en vigueur 1.7.2019) Dépistage d'une mutation ponctuelle acquise au moyen d'une méthode de biologie moléculaire dans la phase d'investigation diagnostique d'une tumeur solide non | | |
|--------|--------|--|-------|---------|
| | | lymphoïde et non-myéloïde | В | 1800 |
| | | (Règle de cumul 5) (Règle diagnostique 1, 13)" | 79 | euros |
| | | "A.R. 7.6.2007" (en vigueur 1.8.2007) + "A.R. 4.5.2010" (en vigueur 1 "A.R. 17.5.2019" (en vigueur 1.7.2019) | 1.8.2 | 010) + |
| 588534 | 588545 | Dépistage d'anomalies chromosomiques ou géniques acquises à l'exception d'une mutation ponctuelle au moyen d'une méthode de biologie moléculaire, dans la phase d'investigation diagnostique d'une tumeur solide non- | | |
| | | lymphoïde et non-myéloïde | в | 3000 |
| | | "(Règle de cumul 5) (Règle diagnostique 1, 13)" | 13 | 2 euros |

B= 0.044

- concept:
 - NEW article 33ter:
 - new "generic" nomenclature codes for predictive tests linked to a drug (diagnostic/prognostic: article 33bis)
 - defined by TMC
 - published by royal decree
 - NEW chapter "VIII":
 - list of "personalised" drugs
 - + list with "companion" tests

if the Minister decides to reimburse the drug, the marker will be added to the list by the same Ministerial Decree

methodology

- providers: idem art 33bis
 - Clinical biology
 - Pathology
 - Centre for human genetics
- content: end-to-end process
- quality: ISO15189 + control by ISP-WIV + EQA
- fee: 3 levels of complexity
 - complexity of test
 - complexity of sample
 - prevalence
 - consistent with existing nomenclature 33 and 33bis

Level 1: 88 euros Level 2: 147 euros Level 3: 196 euros

methodology

- follow-up: separate codes
- diagnostic rules:
 - 1/diagnostic phase
 - follow-up: 1/follow-up period
- cumulative rules:
 - on the list for art33ter = tarification through 33ter
 - no double tarification (with 33bis)
- registration mandatory
 - start with "light" registry
 - reports for data providers, health insurance and
 - future: link with MOC/COM and outcome data

Annexe 4 : Modalités de financement pour 2022

Les honoraires ont été ajustés dès 1/1/2020 conformément à l'Accord national médico-mutualiste 2020 (1,25%). Cela était de nouveau ajusté à partir du 1/1/2021 conformément à l'Accord national médico-mutualiste 2021 (0,80%) et à partir du 1/1/2022 conformément à l'Accord national médico-mutualiste 2021 (0,73%).

Le remboursement total initiale de 350 € a été ajusté à l'indice santé (1,95%) à 356,83 € dès 1/1/2020, conformément à l'article 3 de la Convention. Cela était de nouveau ajusté à partir du 1/1/2021 avec 1,01% à 360,43 € et à partir du 1/1/2022 avec 0,79% à 363,28 €. Le remboursement total de 550 € pour RNA-seq était ajusté à partir du 1/1/2021 avec 1,01%, à 555.56 € et à partir du 1/1/2022 avec 0,79%, à 559.95 €.

Le montant complémentaire est la différence entre les deux montants.

| Indicatie/Indication | Art33ter | | Art33bis | | Honorarium | Toeslag in | Populatie aan |
|--|----------------|-------|---------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | in Euro/ | Euro/Montant | 100%/ |
| | | | | | Honoraire | Complémentaire | Population à |
| | | | | | en Euro | en Euro | 100% |
| Gemetastaseerd Colorectaal | 594053- 594064 | B3000 | 587915-587926 | B1800 | 224.42 | 136.01 | 3000 |
| carcinoma | | | | | 226,06 | 137.22 | |
| Carcinome colorectal métastatique | | | | | | | |
| Gevorderd-Adenocarcinoma Long | 594053-594064 | B3000 | 588534-588545 | B3000+ | 356.43 | 4.00 | 5600 |
| Adénocarcinome pulmonaire avancé | | | 587915-587926 | B1800 | 359.04 | <mark>4.24</mark> | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Long: progressie binnen 1j na positief | | | | | 0 | 360.43 | 180 |
| advies MOC | | | | | | 363.28 | |
| Poumon : progression avant 1 an | | | | | | | |
| après avis positif d'une COM | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Long zonder driver mutatie (RNA-seq) | 594090-594101 | B4000 | | | 387.2 4 | 168.32 | 1800 |
| Poumon sans mutation driver (RNA- | (ROS, ALK, 2x | | | | 390.06 | (totaal 555.56€) | |
| seq) | niveau 3) | | | | | 169.89 | |
| | | | | | | (totaal 559.95€) | |
| | | | | | | | |

In Belgium: ComPerMed

Commission de Médecine Personnalisée



"Take home" message

NGS interpretation – NOT so EASY

- ✓ Interpreting NGS data requires a **team approach**
- ✓ Understanding the clinical context and how NGS report may impact the management of the patient is critical
- ✓ Each case is UNIQUE
- ✓ Each variant must be interpreted in the context of the tumor type
- ✓ Lot of variables can impact the result (pre-analytic, analytic, postanalytic)
- ✓ Be always **CRITICAL** with the results

=> Advice for <u>CLINICAL</u> interpretation : **STAY ON THE GROOMED TRAILS**

